

TREASURY MANAGEMENT STRATEGY 2016/17

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

The Council is required to operate a balanced budget, which broadly means that cash raised during the year will meet cash expenditure. Part of the treasury management operation is to ensure that this cash flow is adequately planned, with cash being available when it is needed. Surplus monies are invested in low risk counterparties or instruments commensurate with the Council's low risk appetite, providing adequate liquidity initially before considering investment return.

The second main function of the treasury management service is the funding of the Council's capital plans. These capital plans provide a guide to the investment reduction of the Council, essentially the longer term cash flow planning to ensure that the Council can meet its capital spending obligations. This management of longer term cash may involve arranging long or short term loans, or using longer term cash flow surpluses.

CIPFA defines treasury management as:

“The management of the local authority's investments and cash flows, its banking, money market and capital market transactions; the effective control of the risks associated with those activities; and the pursuit of optimum performance consistent with those risks.”

1.2 Reporting requirements

The Council is required to receive and approve, as a minimum, three main reports each year, which incorporate a variety of polices, estimates and actuals.

Prudential and treasury indicators and treasury strategy (this report) - The first, and most important report covers:

- the capital plans (including prudential indicators);
- a minimum revenue provision (MRP) policy (how residual capital expenditure is charged to revenue over time);
- the treasury management strategy (how the investments and borrowings are to be organised) including treasury indicators; and
- an investment strategy (the parameters on how investments are to be managed).

A mid year treasury management report – This will update members with the progress of the capital position, amending prudential indicators as necessary, and whether the treasury strategy is meeting the strategy or whether any policies require revision.

An annual treasury report – This provides details of a selection of actual prudential and treasury indicators and actual treasury operations compared to the estimates within the strategy.

Scrutiny

The above reports are required to be adequately scrutinised before being recommended to the Council. This role is undertaken by the Treasury Management Panel.

1.3 Treasury Management Strategy for 2016/17

The strategy for 2016/17 covers two main areas:

Capital issues

- the capital plans and the prudential indicators;
- the minimum revenue provision (MRP) strategy.

Treasury management issues

- the current treasury position;
- treasury indicators which limit the treasury risk and activities of the Council;
- prospects for interest rates;
- the borrowing strategy;
- policy on borrowing in advance of need;
- debt rescheduling;
- the investment strategy;
- creditworthiness policy; and
- policy on use of external service providers.

These elements cover the requirements of the Local Government Act 2003, the CIPFA Prudential Code, CLG MRP Guidance, the CIPFA Treasury Management Code and CLG Investment Guidance.

1.4 Training

The increased Member consideration of treasury management matters and the need to ensure officers dealing with treasury management are trained and kept up to date requires a suitable training process for Members and officers. This Council has addressed this important issue by providing training sessions for the Treasury Management Panel members on the subject of Treasury Management.

The training needs of treasury management officers are periodically reviewed.

1.5 Treasury management consultants

The Council uses Capita Asset Services, as its external treasury management advisors.

The Council recognises that responsibility for treasury management decisions remains with the organisation at all times and will ensure that undue reliance is not placed upon our external service providers.

It also recognises that there is value in employing external providers of treasury management services in order to acquire access to specialist skills and resources. The Council will ensure that the terms of their appointment and the methods by which their value will be assessed are properly agreed and documented, and subjected to regular review.

2. THE CAPITAL PRUDENTIAL INDICATORS 2016/17 – 2018/19

The Council's capital expenditure plans are the key driver of treasury management activity. The outputs of the capital expenditure plans are reflected in prudential indicators, which are designed to assist members' overview and confirm capital expenditure plans.

2.1 Capital expenditure

This prudential indicator is a summary of the Council's capital expenditure plans, both those agreed previously, and those forming part of this budget cycle. Members are asked to approve the capital expenditure forecasts:

Capital expenditure	2014/15 Actual £000	2015/16 Revised £000	2016/17 Estimate £000	2017/18 Estimate £000	2018/19 Estimate £000
General Fund	4,333	24,463	9,196	949	949
HRA	6,974	5,458	8,219	8,661	8,526
Total	11,307	29,921	17,415	9,610	9,475

Other long term liabilities. The above financing need excludes other long term liabilities, such as leasing arrangements which already include borrowing instruments. The authority has no finance leasing arrangements at present.

The table below summarises the above capital expenditure plans and how these plans are being financed by capital or revenue resources. Any shortfall of resources results in a funding borrowing need.

Capital expenditure	2014/15 Actual £000	2015/16 Revised £000	2016/17 Estimate £000	2017/18 Estimate £000	2018/19 Estimate £000
Total	11,307	29,921	17,415	9,610	9,475
Financed by:					
Capital receipts	1,513	8,035	1,080	430	230
Capital grants	344	737	380	380	380
Capital reserves	6,352	5,902	6,568	5,917	6,048
3 rd Party Contributions	369	893	750	250	250
Revenue	629	60	1,722	2,490	2,424
Borrowing need for the year	2,100	14,294	6,915	143	143

2.2 The Council's borrowing need (the Capital Financing Requirement)

The second prudential indicator is the Council's Capital Financing Requirement (CFR). The CFR is simply the total historic outstanding capital expenditure which has not yet been paid for from either revenue or capital resources. It is essentially a measure of the Council's underlying borrowing need. Any capital expenditure above, which has not immediately been paid for, will increase the CFR.

The CFR does not increase indefinitely, as the minimum revenue provision (MRP) is a statutory annual revenue charge which broadly reduces the borrowing need in line with each assets life.

The CFR includes any other long term liabilities (e.g. PFI schemes, finance leases). Whilst these increase the CFR, and therefore the Council's borrowing requirement, these types of scheme include a borrowing facility and so the Council is not required to separately borrow for these schemes

The Council is asked to approve the CFR projections below:

£000	2014/15 Actual	2015/16 Estimate	2016/17 Estimate	2017/18 Estimate	2018/19 Estimate
Capital Financing Requirement					
Total CFR	72,595	73,871	86,148	84,189	82,260
Movement in CFR	(887)	1,276	12,277	(1,959)	(1,929)

Movement in CFR represented by					
Net financing need for the year (above)	11,307	29,921	17,415	9,610	9,475
Less MRP/VRP and other financing movements	(12,194)	(28,520)	(29,692)	(11,569)	(11,404)
Movement in CFR	(887)	1,401	12,277	(1,959)	(1,929)

2.3 Affordability prudential indicators

The previous sections cover the overall capital and control of borrowing prudential indicators, but within this framework prudential indicators are required to assess the affordability of the capital investment plans. These provide an indication of the impact of the capital investment plans on the Council's overall finances. The Council is asked to approve the following indicators:

2.4 Ratio of financing costs to net revenue stream

This is an indicator of affordability and highlights the revenue implications of existing and proposed capital expenditure by identifying the proportion of the revenue budget required to meet borrowing costs. It would not be prudent for borrowing costs to be a significant proportion of net revenue either now or in the future. By estimating the ratio for at least the next three years the trend in the cost of capital (borrowing costs net of interest and investment income) as a proportion of revenue income can be seen.

%	2014/15 Actual	2015/16 Revised	2016/17 Estimate	2017/18 Estimate	2018/19 Estimate
General Fund	2.90%	3.60%	4.01%	4.28%	4.21%
HRA	8.01%	7.77%	7.92%	8.00%	8.15%
Total	5.88%	6.05%	6.26%	6.55%	6.62%

2.5 Incremental impact of capital investment decisions on council tax

This indicator identifies the revenue costs associated with proposed changes to the three year capital programme recommended in this budget report compared to the Council's existing approved commitments and current plans. The assumptions are based on the budget, but will invariably include some estimates, such as the level of Government support, which are not published over a three year period.

Incremental impact of capital investment decisions on the band D council tax

£	2014/15 Actual	2015/16 Revised	2016/17 Estimate	2017/18 Estimate	2018/19 Estimate
Council tax - band D	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL

For average weekly housing rents

£	2014/15 Actual	2015/16 Revised	2016/17 Estimate	2017/18 Estimate	2018/19 Estimate
Housing Rents	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL

Decisions on annual rent increases are subject to rent restructuring guidelines set by Central Government. As a consequence the Government have indicated that rent levels will decrease by 1% over the next four years. This method has been used to form part of the 30 year HRA Business Plan.

3. BORROWING

The capital expenditure plans set out in Section 2 provide details of the service activity of the Council. The treasury management function ensures that the Council's cash is organised in accordance with the the relevant professional codes, so that sufficient cash is available to meet this service activity. This will involve both the organisation of the cash flow and, where capital plans require, the organisation of appropriate borrowing facilities. The strategy covers the relevant treasury / prudential indicators, the current and projected debt positions and the annual investment strategy.

3.1 Current portfolio position

The Council's treasury portfolio position at 31 March 2015, with forward projections are summarised below. The table shows the actual external debt (the treasury management operations), against the underlying capital borrowing need (the Capital Financing Requirement - CFR), highlighting any over or under borrowing.

£000	2014/15 Actual	2015/16 Revised	2016/17 Estimate	2017/18 Estimate	2018/19 Estimate
External Debt					
Debt at 1 April	57,709	58,926	65,360	68,512	68,671
Expected change in Debt	1,217	6,434	3,170	274	4,857
Actual debt at 31 March	58,926	65,360	68,530	68,786	73,528
The Capital Financing Requirement	72,595	73,871	86,148	84,189	82,260
Under / (over) borrowing	13,669	8,511	17,618	15,403	8,732

Total investments at 31 March					
Investments	24,561	17,460	14,590	13,520	10,520
Investment change	3,845	(7,101)	(2,870)	(1,070)	(3,000)

Within the prudential indicators there are a number of key indicators to ensure that the Council operates its activities within set limits. One of these is that the Council needs to ensure that its total debt, net of any investments, does not, except in the short term, exceed the total of the CFR in the preceding year plus the estimates of any additional CFR for 2016/17 and the following two financial years (shown as net borrowing above). This allows some flexibility for limited early borrowing for future years, but ensures that borrowing is not undertaken for revenue purposes.

The Section 151 Officer reports that the Council complied with this prudential indicator in the current year and does not envisage difficulties for the future. This view takes into account current commitments, existing plans, and the proposals in this budget report.

3.2 Treasury Indicators: limits to borrowing activity

The operational boundary. This is the limit beyond which external debt is not normally expected to exceed. In most cases, this would be a similar figure to the CFR, but may be lower or higher depending on the levels of actual debt.

Operational boundary £'000	2015/16 Revised	2016/17 Estimate	2017/18 Estimate	2018/19 Estimate
Borrowing	106,600	107,000	104,000	101,000

The authorised limit for external debt. A further key prudential indicator represents a control on the maximum level of borrowing. This represents a limit beyond which external debt is prohibited, and this limit needs to be set or revised by the full Council. It reflects the level of external debt which, while not desired, could be afforded in the short term, but is not sustainable in the longer term.

This is the statutory limit determined under section 3 (1) of the Local Government Act 2003. The Government retains an option to control either the total of all councils' plans, or those of a specific council, although this power has not yet been exercised.

The Council is asked to approve the following authorised limit:

Authorised Limit £'000	2015/16 Revised	2016/17 Estimate	2017/18 Estimate	2018/19 Estimate
Borrowing	116,000	117,000	114,000	111,000

3.3 Prospects for interest rates

The Council has appointed Capita as its treasury advisor and part of their service is to assist the Council to formulate a view on interest rates. The following table gives the Capita central view.

Annual Average %	Bank Rate	PWLB Borrowing Rates (including certainty rate adjustment)		
		5 year	25 year	50 year
Dec 2015	0.50	2.30	3.60	3.50
March 2016	0.50	2.40	3.70	3.60
June 2016	0.75	2.60	3.80	3.70
Sept 2016	0.75	2.70	3.90	3.80
Dec 2016	1.00	2.80	4.00	3.90
March 2017	1.00	2.80	4.10	4.00
June 2017	1.25	2.90	4.10	4.00
Sept 2017	1.50	3.00	4.20	4.10
Dec 2017	1.50	3.20	4.30	4.20

March 2018	1.75	3.30	4.30	4.20
June 2018	1.75	3.40	4.40	4.30
Sept 2018	2.00	3.50	4.40	4.30
Dec 2018	2.00	3.50	4.40	4.30
March 2019	2.00	3.60	4.50	4.40

Until 2013, the economic recovery in the UK since 2008 has been the worst and slowest recovery in recent history. However, growth has rebounded during 2013 and especially during 2014, to surpass all expectations. The November 2015 Bank of England Inflation Report included a forecast for growth to remain around 2.5-2.7% over the next three years, driven mainly by strong consumer demand as the squeeze on the disposable incomes of consumers has been reversed by a recovery in wage inflation at the same time that CPI inflation has fallen to, or near to, zero since February 2015 this year. Investment expenditure is also expected to support growth. However, since the August Inflation report was issued, worldwide economic statistics have distinctly weakened and the November Inflation Report flagged up particular concerns for the potential impact on the UK.

The Inflation Report was notably subdued in respect of the forecasts for inflation; this was expected to barely get back up to the 2% target within the 2-3 year time horizon. However, once the falls in oil, gas and food prices over recent months fall out of the 12 month calculation of CPI, there will be a sharp tick up from the current zero rate to around 1 percent in the second half of 2016. The increase in the forecast for inflation at the three year horizon was the biggest in a decade and at the two year horizon was the biggest since February 2013. There is considerable uncertainty around how quickly inflation will rise in the next few years and this makes it difficult to forecast when the MPC will decide to make a start on increasing Bank Rate.

In the Eurozone, the ECB used its powers in January 2015 in unleashing a massive €1.1 trillion programme of quantitative easing to buy up high credit quality government and other debt of selected EZ countries. This programme of €60bn of monthly purchases started in March 2015 and it is intended to run initially to September 2016. This appears to have had a positive effect in helping a recovery in consumer and business confidence and a start to a significant improvement in economic growth. GDP growth rose to 0.5% in quarter 1 2015 (1.0% y/y) but came in at +0.4% (+1.5% y/y) in quarter 2 and looks as if it may maintain this pace in quarter 3. However, the recent downbeat Chinese and Japanese news has raised questions as to whether the ECB will need to boost its QE programme if it is to succeed in significantly improving growth in the EZ and getting inflation up from the current level of around zero to its target of 2%.

The American economy made a strong comeback after a weak first quarter's growth at +0.6% (annualised), to grow by no less than 3.9% in quarter 2 of 2015, but then weakened again to 1.5% in quarter 3. The downbeat news in late August and in September about Chinese and Japanese growth and the knock on impact on emerging countries that are major suppliers of commodities, was cited as the main reason for the Fed's decision at its September meeting to pull back from a first rate increase. However, the nonfarm payrolls figure for growth in employment in October was very strong and, together with a likely perception by the Fed. that

concerns on the international scene have subsided, has now firmly opened up the possibility of a first rate rise in December.

3.4 Borrowing strategy

The Council is currently maintaining an under-borrowed position. This means that the capital borrowing need (the Capital Financing Requirement), has not been fully funded with loan debt as cash supporting the Council's reserves, balances and cash flow has been used as a temporary measure. This strategy is prudent as investment returns are low and counterparty risk is relatively high.

Against this background and the risks within the economic forecast , caution will be adopted with 2016/17 treasury operations. The Section 151 Officer will monitor interest rates in the financial markets and adopt a pragmatic approach to changing circumstances.

Any decisions will be reported to the appropriate decision making body at the next available opportunity.

Treasury management limits on activity

- The Council must set both upper and lower limits with respect to the maturity structure of borrowing for the following financial year. This indicator is designed to be a control over an authority having large concentrations of fixed rate debt needing to be replaced at times of uncertainty over interest rates. Therefore the aim should be a relatively even spread of debt repayment dates.
- It is recommended that the Council sets upper and lower limits for the maturity structure of its borrowings as follows:

	Upper Limit %	Lower Limit %
Under 12 months	50	0
12 months and within 24 months	50	0
24 months and within 5 years	100	0
5 years and within 10 years	100	0
10 years and within 20 years	100	0
20 years and within 30 years	100	0
30 years and within 40 years	100	0
40 years and within 50 years	100	0
50 years and above	100	0

3.5 Current Portfolio Position

The Council's treasury debt portfolio position at 31st December 2015 comprised:

		Principal	Ave. rate
		£m	%
Fixed rate borrowing	PWLB	49.72	3.65
	Market	<u>15.90</u>	<u>4.00</u>
		65.62	3.74
TOTAL DEBT		<u>£65.62m</u>	3.74
TOTAL INVESTMENTS		23.02m	0.63

3.6 Policy on borrowing in advance of need

The Council will not borrow more than or in advance of its needs purely in order to profit from the investment of the extra sums borrowed. Any decision to borrow in advance will be within forward approved Capital Financing Requirement estimates, and will be considered carefully to ensure that value for money can be demonstrated and that the Council can ensure the security of such funds.

Risks associated with any borrowing in advance activity will be subject to prior appraisal and subsequent reporting through the mid-year or annual reporting mechanism.

3.7 Debt rescheduling

The Council will continue to maintain a flexible policy for debt rescheduling. As short term borrowing rates will be considerably cheaper than longer fixed interest rates, there may be potential opportunities to generate savings by switching from long term debt to short term debt. However, these savings will need to be considered in the light of the current treasury position and the size of the cost of debt premium repayment. The rationale for rescheduling would be one or more of the following:

- Savings in interest costs with minimal risk
- Balancing the ratio of fixed to variable debt
- Amending the profile of maturing debt to reduce inherent refinancing risks.

Any rescheduling activity will be undertaken following the rationale within the Council's Treasury Management Strategy. The Section 151 Officer will agree in advance with Capita on the strategy and framework within which debt will be repaid/rescheduled if opportunities arise. Thereafter the Council's debt portfolio will be monitored against equivalent interest rates and available refinancing options on a regular basis. As opportunities arise, they will be identified by Capita and discussed with the Council's treasury officers.

All rescheduling activity will comply with the accounting requirements of the local authority Code of Practice and regulatory requirements of the Capital Finance and Accounting Regulations (SI 2007 No 573 as amended by SI 2008/414).

All rescheduling and any new long term borrowing undertaken will be reported to the Treasury Management Panel at the meeting following its action.

3.8 Municipal Bond Agency

It is likely that the Municipal Bond Agency, currently in the process of being set up, will be offering loans to local authorities in the near future. It is also hoped that the borrowing rates will be lower than those offered by the Public Works Loan Board (PWLB). This council could make use of this new source of borrowing as and when required.

4. ANNUAL INVESTMENT STRATEGY

4.1 Investment policy

The Council's investment policy has regard to the CLG's Guidance on Local Government Investments ("the Guidance") and the 2011 revised CIPFA Treasury Management in Public Services Code of Practice and Cross Sectoral Guidance Notes ("the CIPFA TM Code"). The Council's investment priorities will be security first, liquidity second, then return.

In accordance with guidance from the CLG and CIPFA, and in order to minimise the risk to investments, the Council applies minimum acceptable credit criteria in order to generate a list of highly creditworthy counterparties which also enables diversification and thus avoidance of concentration risk. The key ratings used to monitor counterparties are the Short Term and Long Term ratings.

Ratings will not be the sole determinant of the quality of an institution; it is important to continually assess and monitor the financial sector on both a micro and macro basis and in relation to the economic and political environments in which institutions operate. The assessment will also take account of information that reflects the opinion of the markets. To this end the Council will engage with its advisors to maintain a monitor on market pricing such as "credit default swaps" and overlay that information on top of the credit ratings.

Other information sources used will include the financial press, share price and other such information pertaining to the banking sector in order to establish the most robust scrutiny process on the suitability of potential investment counterparties.

Investment instruments identified for use in the financial year are listed in Appendix 3 under the 'specified' and 'non-specified' investments categories. Counterparty limits will be as set through the Council's treasury management practices – schedules.

Continuing regulatory changes in the banking sector are designed to see greater stability, lower risk and the removal of expectations of Government financial support should an institution fail. This withdrawal of implied sovereign support is anticipated to have an effect on ratings applied to institutions. This will result in the key ratings used to monitor counterparties being the Short term and Long Term ratings only. Viability, Financial Strength and Support Ratings previously applied will effectively become redundant. This change does not reflect deterioration in the credit environment but rather a change of method in response to regulatory changes.

As with previous practice, ratings will not be the sole determinant of the quality of an institution and that it is important to continually assess and monitor the financial sector on both a micro and macro basis and in relation to the economic and political environments in which institutions operate. The assessment will also take account of information that reflects the opinion of the markets. To this end the

Council will engage with its advisors to maintain a monitor on market pricing such as “credit default swaps” and overlay that information on top of the credit ratings.

Other information sources used will include the financial press, share price and other such information pertaining to the banking sector in order to establish the most robust scrutiny process on the suitability of potential investment counterparties.

The aim of the strategy is to generate a list of highly creditworthy counterparties which will also enable diversification and thus avoidance of concentration risk.

The intention of the strategy is to provide security of investment and minimisation of risk.

Investment instruments identified for use in the financial year are listed below in paragraph 4.4 under the ‘specified’ and ‘non-specified’ investments categories as well as the counterparty limits.

4.2 Specified and Non- Specified Investments

Specified Investments are investments offering high security and high liquidity. The investments will be sterling denominated with maturities up to a revised maximum of one year and meet the minimum ‘high’ credit rating criteria where applicable. Instruments identified for use in the financial year are listed in table below under the ‘specified’ and ‘non-specified’ investments categories.

SPECIFIED INVESTMENTS

All ‘Specified and Non Specified Investments’ listed below must be sterling-denominated.

The types of investments that will be used by the Council

Investment	Max Sum per institution/group	Maximum period
Debt Management Agency Deposit Facility* (DMADF) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> this facility is at present available for investments up to 6 months 	UNLIMITED	6 months
UK Government Gilts	£2m	2 years
UK Government Treasury Bills	UNLIMITED	1 year
Term deposits with the UK government or with UK local authorities (i.e. local authorities as defined under Section 23 of the 2003 Act) with maturities up to 1 year	£7m	2 years
Term deposits with credit-rated deposit takers (banks and building societies), including callable deposits, with maturities up to 1 year (UK & Non-UK)	£7m	1 year

Money Market Funds with UK/Ireland/Luxembourg domiciled	£2m	1 year
Corporate Bonds held in a broker's nominee account (King & Shaxson Ltd)	£2m	2 years to maturity
T-Bills issued by the DMO (Government)	UNLIMITED	1 year
Certificates of deposit (CD's) issued by banks and building societies covered by UK Government (explicit) guarantee	£7m	2 years

Non-specified investments are of greater potential risk and cover deposit periods over one year. Capita continue to maintain the view that, for the time being, clients should look to the short end of the market when making investment decisions and it is the intention of this Council to lend for a maximum period of two years as recommended by Capita. The exception to this is the loan made to Gloucestershire Airport Company which the Council could lend up to three years. The Council does have a 50% share in the airport.

A variety of investment instruments will be used, subject to the credit quality of the institution, and depending on the type of investment made it will fall into one of the above categories.

The types of investments that may be used by the Council, and whether they are specified or non-specified are as follows:

Specified and Non-Specified Investments

Investment	Specified	Non-Specified
Term deposits with banks and building societies	✓	✓
Term deposits with other UK local authorities	✓	✓
Certificates of deposit with banks and building societies	✓	✓
Gilts	✓	✓
Treasury Bills (T-Bills)	✓	x
Bonds issued by Multilateral Development Banks	✓	✓
Local Authority Bills	✓	x
Commercial Paper	✓	x
Corporate Bonds	✓	✓
Property Funds	x	✓
AAA rated Money Market Funds	✓	x
Other Money Market and Collective Investment Schemes	✓	✓

Debt Management Account Deposit Facility	✓	x
--	---	---

4.3 Creditworthiness policy

This Council applies the creditworthiness service provided by Capita Asset Services. This service employs a sophisticated modelling approach utilising credit ratings from the three main credit rating agencies - Fitch, Moody's and Standard and Poor's. The credit ratings of counterparties are supplemented with the following overlays:

- credit watches and credit outlooks from credit rating agencies;
- CDS spreads to give early warning of likely changes in credit ratings;
- sovereign ratings to select counterparties from only the most creditworthy countries.

This modelling approach combines credit ratings, credit watches and credit outlooks in a weighted scoring system which is then combined with an overlay of CDS spreads for which the end product is a series of colour coded bands which indicate the relative creditworthiness of counterparties. These colour codes are used by the Council to determine the suggested duration for investments. The Council will therefore use counterparties within the following durational bands:

- Yellow 5 years *
- Dark Pink 5 years for Enhanced money market funds (EMMFs) with a credit score of 1.25
- Light Pink 5 years for Enhanced money market funds (EMMFs) with a credit score of 1.5
- Purple 2 years
- Blue 1 year (only applies to nationalised or semi nationalised UK Banks)
- Orange 1 year
- Red 6 months
- Green 3 months
- No colour not to be used

The Capita creditworthiness service uses a wider array of information than just primary ratings and by using a risk weighted scoring system, does not give undue preponderance to just one agency's ratings.

Typically the minimum credit ratings criteria the Council use will be a short term rating (Fitch or equivalents) of short term rating F1, long term rating A-. There may be occasions when the counterparty ratings from one rating agency are marginally lower than these ratings but may still be used. In these instances consideration will be given to the whole range of ratings available, or other topical market information, to support their use. UK part nationalised banks such as National Westminster Bank and Royal Bank of Scotland are currently rated as

BBB+ but remain on the list as they have the government support at present. However once the majority of these banks shares are sold they will be colour coded as an unsupported bank in line all the other banks, and if the rating falls below A-, will be removed from the lending list.

All credit ratings will be monitored weekly and upon any adhoc changes. The Council is alerted to changes to ratings of all three agencies through its use of the Capita Asset Services' creditworthiness service.

- if a downgrade results in the counterparty / investment scheme no longer meeting the Council's minimum criteria, its further use as a new investment will be withdrawn immediately.
-
- in addition to the use of credit ratings the Council will be advised of information in movements in credit default swap spreads against the iTraxx benchmark and other market data on a weekly basis. Extreme market movements may result in downgrade of an institution or removal from the Council's lending list.

Sole reliance will not be placed on the use of this external service. In addition this Council will also use market data and market information, information on any external support for banks to help support its decision making process.

4.4 Country limits

The Council has determined that it will only use approved counterparties from countries with a minimum sovereign credit rating of **AA-** from Fitch. The list of countries that qualify using this credit criteria as at the date of this report are shown in Appendix 3. This list will be added to, or deducted from, by officers should ratings change in accordance with this policy.

4.5 Council's Banker

The Council banks with Lloyds (Lloyds Banking Group). On adoption of this Strategy, it will meet the minimum credit criteria of A- (or equivalent) long term. It is the Council's intention that even if the credit rating of Lloyds Bank falls below the minimum criteria A the bank will continue to be used for short term liquidity requirements (overnight and weekend investments) and business continuity arrangements.

4.6 Annual Minimum Revenue Provision (MRP) Statement

The annual MRP Statement is disclosed in Appendix 4.

4.7 Balanced Budget Requirement

The Authority complies with the provisions of S32 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992 to set a balanced budget.

4.8 Reporting on the Treasury Outturn

The Director of Resources, (Designated Section 151 Officer) will report to Council on its treasury management activities and performance against the strategy at least twice a year, one at mid-year and a year- end review at closedown time.

The Treasury Management Panel will be responsible for the scrutiny of treasury management activity and practices.

4.9 Other Items

4.10 Training

In CIPFA's Code for Treasury Management, it requires the Section 151 Officer to ensure that all appropriate staff and members tasked with treasury management responsibilities, including scrutiny of the treasury management function, receive appropriate training relevant to their needs and understand fully their roles and responsibilities. Training requirements will be identified and any shortfalls will be met by Capita or other organisations.

4.11 Treasury Advisors

The CLG's Guidance on local government investments recommend that the Investment Strategy should state:

- Whether and, if so, how the authority uses external advisors offering information, advice or assistance relating to investment and
- How the quality of any such service is controlled.

The Council appointed Capita Asset Services Ltd (formerly known as Sector) as its external advisor in December 2012 which was extended recently to December 2017. They provide us with information, advice and assistance in all areas of treasury. The Council aims to have a close working relationship with Capita and will be in contact with their advisors on a regular basis (weekly) and daily if necessary. A detailed schedule of services is listed within the contract. The Council recognises that responsibility for treasury management decisions remains with the Council at all times.